arXiv:math/0601607v1 [math.RT] 25 Jan 2006

Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the q-analogue of the alternating group

Hideo Mitsuhashi

Department of Information Technology
Kanagawa Prefectural Junior College for Industrial Technology
2–4–1 Nakao, Asahi–ku, Yokohama–shi, Kanagawa–ken 241–0815, Japan

Abstract

In this paper, we establish Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the q-analogue of the alternating group. We analyze the sign q-permutation representation of the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$ on the rth tensor product of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \otimes V_{\bar{1}}$ in detail, and examine its restriction to the q-analogue of the alternating group $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$. In consequence, we find out that if $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = \dim V_{\bar{1}}$, then the centralizer of $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product of the centralizer of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$ and obtain Schur-Weyl reciprocity between $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$ and its centralizer. Though the structure of the centralizer is more complicated for the case $\dim V_{\bar{0}} \neq \dim V_{\bar{1}}$, we obtain some results about the case. When $q = 1$, Regev has proved Schur-Weyl reciprocity for alternating groups in [12]. Therefore, our result can be regarded as an extension of Regev's work.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this study is to research Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the q-analogue of the alternating group. In our previous paper [10], we established Schur-Weyl reciprocity between the Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$ and the quantum super Lie algebra $U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$. In that paper, we defined the q-permutation representation of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}(q),r}(q)$, and showed that the image of the q-permutation representation is the centralizer of the image of the vector representation of the quantum super Lie algebra $U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ on the rth tensor product of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $(m+n)$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space $V = V_{\bar{0}} \oplus V_{\bar{1}}$. In this paper, we find out the centralizer of the q-analogue of the alternating group as the restriction of the q-permutation representation. When $q = 1$, Regev has already shown Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the alternating group in [12]. Hence our result is regarded as an extension of Regev's work.

Let q be an indeterminate and $K = \mathbb{Q}(q)$. Let $(\pi_r, V^{\otimes r})$ be the q-permutation representation of $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$ (definition of the q-permutation representation is at (4.1)) and $(\rho_r, V^{\otimes r})$ the vector representation of $U_q^\sigma\bigl(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\bigr)$ (definition of the vector representation is at (4.4)). We have proved in [10] that $\mathcal{A}_q = \pi_r\bigl(\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{B}_q = \rho_r\bigl(U_q^\sigma\bigl(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\bigr)\bigr)$ are full centralizers of each other, namely:

$$\mathcal{B}_q = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}_q} V^{\otimes r} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_q = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{B}_q} V^{\otimes r}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let R_0 be a commutative domain which includes an invertible element q. We further assume that 2 and $q + q^{-1}$ are invertible elements of R_0. Then we can define the q-analogue of the alternating group $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ (see Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.6) in $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$. In [9], we defined the q-analogue of the alternating group as a subalgebra of Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type A and obtained defining relations (see Proposition 3.7) for the first time. In this paper, we show that $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product which is obtained from the crossed system $(\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q), \mathbb{Z}_2, \psi_0, \alpha_0)$ (definition of ψ_0 and α_0 are at (3.2) and (3.3) respectively).

Theorem 3.9. *$\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)^{\psi_0}_{\alpha_0}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ as R_0-algebras.*

Let $\mathcal{C}_q = \pi_r\bigl(\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)\bigr)$ and $\mathcal{D}_q = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r}$. Our main subject is to solve the relation between $\mathcal{D}_q$ and $\mathcal{B}_q$. From (1.1), one can immediately see that $\mathcal{B}_q \subseteq \mathcal{D}_q$. But the structure of $\mathcal{D}_q$ is not trivial. Indeed, the structure of $\mathcal{D}_q$ depends on the dimensions $m = \dim_K V_{\bar{0}}$ and $n = \dim_K V_{\bar{1}}$. In this paper, we show that if $m = n$, then $\mathcal{D}_q$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product which is obtained from the crossed system $(\mathcal{B}_q, \mathbb{Z}_2, \psi_1, \alpha_1)$ (definition of ψ_0 and α_0 are at (5.4) and (5.5) respectively).

Theorem 5.6. *If $m = n$, then $\mathcal{D}_q$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product ${\mathcal{B}_q}^{\psi_1}_{\alpha_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ as K-algebras.*

From this theorem, we immediately obtain that $\dim_K \mathcal{D}_q = 2 \dim_K \mathcal{B}_q$. Moreover, we show Schur-Weyl reciprocity for $\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)$.

Theorem 5.8. *$\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{D}_q$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{C}_q$ hold.*

In the general case, the matter is more complicated, but we can find out to some extent if we exchange the base field from K to its algebraic closure $\bar{K}$. Let $\bar{U}_q^\sigma\bigl(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\bigr) = U_q^\sigma\bigl(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\bigr) \otimes_K \bar{K}$, $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \mathcal{A}_q \otimes_K \bar{K}$, $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_q = \mathcal{B}_q \otimes_K \bar{K}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q = \mathcal{C}_q \otimes_K \bar{K}$. Then we have the following theorem by the theory of semisimple algebras.

Theorem 6.1. *$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ have direct sum decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^0_q \oplus \bar{\mathcal{A}}^1_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{C}}^0_q \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}}^1_q$ respectively, which are satisfy the following relations.*

(1) $\bar{\mathcal{C}}^0_q \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}^0_q$ and $\dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}^0_q = 2 \dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}^0_q$

(2) $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1 = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1$*. Especially* $\dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1 = \dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1$.

As a corollary, we obtain an anomalous phenomenon for non-super case as follows.

Corollary 6.2. *Let* $n = 0$*. If* $m^2 < r$*, then* $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ *and* $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r}$.

The details of the decompositions of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ are described in section 6. We also obtain the similar result to Theorem 6.1 about the endomorphism algebras $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r}$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r}$; there exist two $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-submodules W_0 and W_1 which satisfy the following properties.

Corollary 6.3. $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_0 \supsetneq \operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_0$ *and* $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_1 = \operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_1$.

Although the relation between $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ is made clear by (6.4)-(6.7), that between $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W$ is not clear except for Corollary 6.2 at this point.

2 Preliminaries

Let R be a commutative ring with 1 and G a group. In this section, we shall review the definition and some properties about G-crossed products. A full account about G-graded algebras and G-crossed products is given in [11].

Definition 2.1 (G-graded algebra). An R-algebra A is said to be *G-graded* if there exist a family of R-submodules $\{A_\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$ of A indexed by elements of G which satisfies the following two conditions:

(G1) $A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} A_\sigma$,

(G2) $A_\sigma A_\tau \subseteq A_{\sigma\tau}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Moreover, A is said to be *strongly G-graded* when (G2) is replaced by the following condition:

(G'2) $A_\sigma A_\tau = A_{\sigma\tau}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

We notice that if $A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} A_\sigma$ is a G-graded algebra, then A_{1_G} (1_G means the identity element of G) is a subalgebra of A and $1_A \in A_{1_G}$.

Definition 2.2 (G-crossed product). A G-graded R-algebra $A = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} A_\sigma$ is said to be a G-crossed product if each A_σ has an invertible element.

We notice that a G-crossed product is a strongly G-graded algebra. Indeed, if A is a G-crossed product, then for an invertible element $u_\sigma \in A_\sigma$, $u_\sigma^{-1} \in A_{\sigma^{-1}}$ and $1_A = u_\sigma u_\sigma^{-1} \in A_\sigma A_{\sigma^{-1}}$. So, we have $A_{\sigma\tau} = 1_A A_{\sigma\tau} \subseteq (A_\sigma A_{\sigma^{-1}}) A_{\sigma\tau} = A_\sigma (A_{\sigma^{-1}} A_{\sigma\tau}) \subseteq A_\sigma A_\tau$.

Definition 2.3 (crossed system). Let A be an algebra and G a group. Suppose that there exist two maps

$$\psi : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(A),$$

(we denote $\psi(\sigma)(a)$ by ${}^{\sigma}a$ for brevity), and

$$\alpha : G \times G \longrightarrow A^{\times},$$

where $A^{\times}$ is the multiplicative group of units of A_1, which satisfy the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\sigma}({}^{\tau}a) &= \alpha(\sigma,\tau)^{(\sigma\tau)}a\alpha(\sigma,\tau)^{-1} && (2.1)\\ {}^{\sigma_1}\alpha(\sigma_2,\sigma_3)\alpha(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\sigma_3) &= \alpha(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)\alpha(\sigma_1\sigma_2,\sigma_3) && (2.2)\\ \alpha(\sigma,1) &= \alpha(1,\sigma) = 1, && (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma, \tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3 \in G, a \in A$. (A, G, ψ, α) is said to be a *crossed system.* ψ is called a *weak action* of G on A, and α is called a ψ-*cocycle.*

We denote by $A^{\psi}_{\alpha}[G]$ the free left A-module with the basis $\{u_\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$ and the following multiplication:

$$(a_1 u_\sigma)(a_2 u_\tau) = a_1 {}^{\sigma}a_2 \alpha(\sigma,\tau) u_{\sigma\tau}, \tag{2.4}$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in A, \sigma, \tau \in G$.

Proposition 2.4 ([11]Proposition 1.4.1). *$A^{\psi}_{\alpha}[G]$ is a G-crossed product.*

Proposition 2.5 ([11]Proposition 1.4.2). *Every G-crossed product is of the form $A^{\psi}_{\alpha}[G]$ for some algebra A, some weak action ψ and some ψ-cocycle α.*

When G is finite and a strongly G-graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} A_\sigma$ is finitely generated over R as modules, A is said to have a *G-graded Clifford system* $\{A_\sigma | \sigma \in G\}$ if A satisfies (C1).

(C1) For each $\sigma \in G$, there exists an invertible element $a_\sigma \in A$ such that $A_\sigma = a_\sigma A_{1_G} = A_{1_G} a_\sigma$.

It is clear that such a_σ is in A_σ. An exposition about group graded Clifford systems can be found in [1], section 11C.

3 The q-analogue of the alternating group and its representation

Let $(W, S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_r\})$ be a Coxeter system of rank r. Let R_0 be a commutative domain with 1, and let $q_i (i = 1, \ldots, r)$ be any invertible elements of R_0 such that $q_i = q_j$ if s_i is conjugate to s_j in W. Further we assume that 2 and $q_i + q_i^{-1} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ are invertible elements of R_0. The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R_0}(W, S)$ is an R_0-algebra generated by $\{T_{s_i} | s_i \in S\}$ with the defining relations:

(H1) $T_{s_i}^2 = (q_i - q_i^{-1})T_{s_i} + 1 \qquad \text{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r,$

(H2) $(T_{s_i}T_{s_j})^{k_{ij}} = (T_{s_j}T_{s_i})^{k_{ij}} \qquad \text{if } m_{ij} = 2k_{ij},$

(H3) $(T_{s_i}T_{s_j})^{k_{ij}}T_{s_i} = (T_{s_j}T_{s_i})^{k_{ij}}T_{s_j} \qquad \text{if } m_{ij} = 2k_{ij} + 1,$

where m_{ij} is the order of $s_i s_j$ in W. We write $T_i = T_{s_i}$ for brevity.

If (W, S) is of type A and of rank $r-1$, then W is isomorphic to the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$. Furthermore, all the elements of S are conjugate to each other, hence we may assume $q_1 = \cdots = q_{r-1} = q$. The Iwahori-Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}_{R_0}(W, S)$ of type A has the defining relations:

(A1) $T_i^2 = (q - q^{-1})T_i + 1 \qquad \text{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1,$

(A2) $T_iT_{i+1}T_i = T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1} \qquad \text{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-2,$

(A3) $T_iT_j = T_jT_i \qquad \text{if } |i - j| > 1.$

Let $\hat{}$ be the Goldman involution. This is an involution on $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ defined by

$$\hat{T}_i = (q - q^{-1}) - T_i.$$

Definition 3.1. We define $\mathcal{H}^{\pm 1}_{R_0,r}(q)$ to be the eigenspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ corresponding to the eigenvalues ± 1 of $\hat{}$ respectively.

We notice that $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$. Let T'_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1)$ be the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ defined by

$$T'_i = \frac{T_i - \hat{T}_i}{q + q^{-1}} = \frac{2T_i - (q - q^{-1})}{q + q^{-1}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1.$$

Then one can immediately check $\hat{T}'_i = -T'_i$.

Proposition 3.2. *$T'_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$ generate $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ and satisfy the following defining relations:*

(A'1) $T_i'^2 = 1 \qquad \textit{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1,$

(A'2) $T'_iT'_{i+1}T'_i = T'_{i+1}T'_iT'_{i+1} - \left(\frac{q - q^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}}\right)^2 (T'_i - T'_{i+1}) \qquad \textit{if } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-2,$

(A'3) $T'_iT'_j = T'_jT'_i \qquad \textit{if } |i - j| > 1.$

Proof. From the equations

$$T_i = \frac{1}{2}\{(q+q^{-1})T'_i + (q-q^{-1})\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1,$$

which are obtained from the definition of T'_i, we see that $T'_i(i = 1, 2, \dots, r)$ generate $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$. The defining relations are obtained from a direct computation. □

Consider the following sets of monomials:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &= \{1, T_1\} \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &= \{1, T_2, T_2T_1\} \\ \mathcal{C}_3 &= \{1, T_3, T_3T_2, T_3T_2T_1\} \\ &\vdots \\ \mathcal{C}_{r-1} &= \{1, T_{r-1}, T_{r-1}T_{r-2}, \dots, T_{r-1}T_{r-2}\cdots T_1\} \end{aligned}$$

We shall say that $M_1M_2\cdots M_{r-1}$ is a *monomial in T_i-normal form* in $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ if $M_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$. The following fact is well-known in the theory of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra.

Proposition 3.3. $\operatorname{rank}_{R_0} \mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = r!$ *and*

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = \bigoplus_{M_i \in \mathcal{C}_i} R_0 M_1 M_2 \cdots M_{r-1}$$

We derive from this fact that all monomials in T'_i-normal form also constitute a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let* $\mathcal{C}'_i = \{1, T'_i, T'_iT'_{i-1}, \dots, T'_iT'_{i-1}\cdots T'_1\}$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \dots, r-1$. *Then we have*

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = \bigoplus_{M'_i \in \mathcal{C}'_i} R_0 M'_1 M'_2 \cdots M'_{r-1}.$$

Proof. Consider the map f from $\{T_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,r-1}$ to $\{T'_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,r-1}$ which is defined by $f(T_i) = T'_i$. This map induces the R_0-endomorphism $\bar{f}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$. $\bar{f}$ is an R_0-isomorphism because the inverse $\bar{g}$ which is induced from the map g from $\{T'_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,r-1}$ to $\{T_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,r-1}$ defined by

$$g(T'_i) = \frac{1}{2}\{(q+q^{-1})T_i + (q-q^{-1})\}$$

exists. Hence we conclude that $M'_1M'_2\cdots M'_{r-1}(M'_i \in \mathcal{C}'_i)$, which are images of $M_1M_2\cdots M_{r-1}(M_i \in \mathcal{C}_i)$, are linearly independent and constitute a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$. □

Let $\mathcal{E}_r$ (respectively $\mathcal{O}_r$) be the set of all monomials in T'_i-normal form in $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ which are products of even (respectively odd) numbers of T'_i's. Then the following holds.

Lemma 3.5. $|\mathcal{E}_r| = |\mathcal{O}_r| = 2^{-1}r!$ *for* $r > 1$.

Proof. The proof is done by induction on r. It is trivial for $r = 2$. Let $M'_1M'_2\cdots M'_{r-1}\in\mathcal{E}_r$ with $r > 2$ and $M'_i\in\mathcal{C}'_i$. Then $M'_1M'_2\cdots M'_{r-2}$ is considered as a monomial in T'_i-normal form in $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r-1}(q)$. Let $(\mathcal{C}'_{r-1})^e$ (respectively $(\mathcal{C}'_{r-1})^o$) be the subset of $\mathcal{C}'_{r-1}$ which consists of products of even (respectively odd) numbers of T'_i's. We can readily see that $M'_1M'_2\cdots M'_{r-2}\in\mathcal{E}_{r-1}$ if and only if $M'_{r-1}\in(\mathcal{C}'_{r-1})^e$. By induction, $|\mathcal{E}_{r-1}| = |\mathcal{O}_{r-1}| = 2^{-1}(r-1)!$ and hence we obtain the following

$$|\mathcal{E}_r| = |(\mathcal{C}'_{r-1})^e||\mathcal{E}_{r-1}| + |(\mathcal{C}'_{r-1})^o||\mathcal{O}_{r-1}| = r\times 2^{-1}(r-1)! = 2^{-1}r!$$

as desired. □

Now we characterize $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ as a q-analogue of the alternating group.

Proposition 3.6. $\operatorname{rank}_{R_0}\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = 2\operatorname{rank}_{R_0}\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$. *Moreover* $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ *is the subalgebra which consists of all the products of even numbers of* T'_i*'s.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}^e_{R_0,r}(q) = \oplus_{M\in\mathcal{E}_r}R_0M$ and $\mathcal{H}^o_{R_0,r}(q) = \oplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_r}R_0M$. Then we can see immediately that $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}^e_{R_0,r}(q)\oplus\mathcal{H}^o_{R_0,r}(q)$. Furthermore we obtain $\mathcal{H}^e_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ and $\mathcal{H}^o_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}_{R_0,r}(q)$ from the property $\hat{T}'_i = -T'_i$. Combined with Lemma 3.5 we have $\operatorname{rank}_{R_0}\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = 2\operatorname{rank}_{R_0}\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ be the set of all the linear combinations of products of even numbers of T'_i's. Obviously $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)\subseteq\bar{\mathcal{H}}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$. From the defining relations (A'1)-(A'3), one can see that if a monomial in T'_i-normal form which consists of even (respectively odd) number of T'_i's is expressed in a linear combination of other expressions, then each term consists of even (respectively odd) number of T'_i's. Hence if we express an element of $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ by a linear combination of monomials in T'_i-normal form, each term is in $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$. Consequently $\bar{\mathcal{H}}^1_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$. □

When we suppose that $R_0 = \mathbb{C}$ and take a limit $q\to 1$, $\mathcal{H}^1_{\mathbb{C},r}(1)$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{A}_n]$ of the alternating group $\mathfrak{A}_n$.

Theorem 3.7 ([9]). $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ *is isomorphic to the* R_0*-algebra which is generated by* $r-2$ *elements* $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{r-2}$ *with the defining relations:*

(B1) $X_1^3 = -\Big(\dfrac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}\Big)^2(X_1^2 - X_1) + 1,$

(B2) $X_i^2 = 1 \qquad$ *for* $i > 1$,

(B3) $(X_{i-1}X_i)^3 = -\Big(\dfrac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}\Big)^2\Big\{(X_{i-1}X_i)^2 - X_{i-1}X_i\Big\} + 1 \qquad$ *for* $i = 2, 3, \ldots, r-2,$

(B4) $(X_iX_j)^2 = 1 \qquad$ *whenever* $|i-j| > 1$.

An isomorphism is given by $X_i \longrightarrow T_1'T_{i+1}'$.

Next, we shall show that $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product. Since T_i' has an inverse as itself, we can readily see from Proposition 3.6 that $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)T_1'$ is an R_0-submodule of $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ which consists of all linear combinations of products of odd numbers of T_i''s. Therefore, we obtain a direct sum decomposition of left $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$-modules:

$$\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q) = \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q) \oplus \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)T_1'. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ be a multiplicative group. We define two maps ψ_0 and α_0 to be

$$\psi_0 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)), \quad \psi_0(1)(T) = T, \psi_0(-1)(T) = T_1'TT_1', \quad T \in \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q). \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\alpha_0 : \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q))^\times, \quad \alpha_0(\sigma,\tau) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2. \tag{3.3}$$

Then we have the following immediately.

Lemma 3.8. *ψ_0 and α_0 satisfy (2.1)–(2.3).*

Thus, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)^{\psi_0}_{\alpha_0}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ from the crossed system $(\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q), \mathbb{Z}_2, \psi_0, \alpha_0)$, where ψ_0 and α_0 are given by (3.2) and (3.3) respectively.

Theorem 3.9. *$\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)^{\psi_0}_{\alpha_0}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ as R_0-algebras.*

Proof. Since both $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$ and $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)^{\psi_0}_{\alpha_0}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ are free left $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$-modules, we may define an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$-modules

$$\iota_0 : \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)^{\psi_0}_{\alpha_0}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q), \quad \iota_0(u_1) = 1, \iota_0(u_{-1}) = T_1'$$

From (2.4) and (3.2) and (3.3), we can determine the multiplication law as follows.

$$(a_1u_\sigma)(a_2u_\tau) = \begin{cases} a_1a_2u_{\sigma\tau} & \text{if } \sigma = 1, \\ a_1T_1'a_2T_1'u_{\sigma\tau} & \text{if } \sigma = -1, \end{cases}$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{H}^1_{R_0,r}(q)$ and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore, we get four formulas in $\mathcal{H}_{R_0,r}(q)$

$$(a_11)(a_21) = a_1a_21, \quad (a_11)(a_2T_1') = a_1a_2T_1', \quad (a_1T_1')(a_21) = a_1T_1'a_2T_1'T_1', \quad (a_1T_1')(a_2T_1') = a_1T_1'a_2T_1'1,$$

which derive the conclusion that ι_0 is an isomorphism of R_0-algebras. □

We denote by $\bar{K}$ an algebraic closure of a field K. Let q be an indeterminate and $K = \mathbb{Q}(q)$. We shall show (split) semisimplicity of $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ and the branching rule from $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ to $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$. The manner of proof given here is credited to K.Uno, who sent me a letter enclosing the outline of this proof. It is well-known that $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ is split semisimple and that isomorphism classes of simple left $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules are parametrized by Young diagrams of total size n. Let Λ_r be the set of all Young diagrams of total size r. Then, provided that $\{M_{q,\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda_r\}$ is a set of all isomorphism classes of simple left $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules and that $d_\lambda = \mathrm{deg} M_{q,\lambda}$, we may write

$$\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_r} I_{q,\lambda} \quad \big(I_{q,\lambda} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})\big), \tag{3.4}$$

where each $I_{q,\lambda}$ is the homogeneous component corresponding to λ. $I_{q,\lambda}$ is isomorphic to a $d_\lambda \times d_\lambda$ matrix algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})$ whose entries lie in $\bar{K}$. Since $\hat{\ }$ is an involution of $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ there exists $\mu \in \Lambda_n$ such that $\hat{I}_{q,\lambda} = I_{q,\mu}$. Especially, $d_\lambda = d_\mu$ follows. Dipper and James defined Specht modules S^λ_K for Hecke algebras as irreducible submodules of regular modules in [4], and improved the theory of representations of Hecke algebras in the series of articles such as [4, 5, 6]. In particular, they showed in [6] that if K is a field and $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$ is semisimple, then $\hat{S}^\lambda_K \cong_{\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)} S^{\lambda'}_K$ where λ' denotes the transpose of λ. In this case, $M_{q,\lambda}$ is equivalent to $S^\lambda_{\bar{K}}$, thus $\mu = \lambda'$ follows. We divide into two cases depending on whether λ is self-conjugate or not.

(Case1) $\lambda \neq \lambda'$:

In this case, $\hat{\ }$ induces an involution on $I_{q,\lambda} \oplus I_{q,\lambda'}$. Let $\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda} = \big\{X + Y \in I_{q,\lambda} \oplus I_{q,\lambda'} | (X+Y)\hat{\ } = X + Y\big\}$ for $\lambda \neq \lambda'$. Then we have

$$\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda} = \big\{X + \hat{X} \in I_{q,\lambda} \oplus I_{q,\lambda'} | X \in I_{q,\lambda}\big\} \cong I_{q,\lambda}\big(\cong \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})\big), \tag{3.5}$$

Thereby, the image of the regular representation of $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ on $S^\lambda_{\bar{K}}$ is isomorphic to $I_{q,\lambda}$, so $\mathrm{res}^{\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)}_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)} S^\lambda_{\bar{K}}$ is a simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-module. If $g \in \mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ satisfies $\hat{g} = g$, then the matrix coefficients with respect to the basis $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{d_\lambda}$ of $S^\lambda_{\bar{K}}$ is the same as those with respect to the basis $\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \ldots, \hat{x}_{d_\lambda}$ of $\hat{S}^\lambda_{\bar{K}} \cong S^{\lambda'}_{\bar{K}}$. Hence we have the isomorphism of simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules as follows.

$$\mathrm{res}^{\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)}_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)} S^\lambda_{\bar{K}} \cong \mathrm{res}^{\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)}_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)} S^{\lambda'}_{\bar{K}}.$$

(Case2) $\lambda = \lambda'$:

In this case, $\hat{\ }$ induces an involution on $I_{q,\lambda} \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})$. By Skolem-Noether Theorem, there exists an invertible element P of $\mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})$ such that $\hat{X} = PXP^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})$. Since eigenvalues of P

are ±1, We may also assume that

$$P=\begin{bmatrix}1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -1\end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we may write

$$P\begin{bmatrix}X_1 & X_2\\ X_3 & X_4\end{bmatrix}P^{-1}=\begin{bmatrix}X_1 & -X_2\\ -X_3 & X_4\end{bmatrix},$$

for some submatrices X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4. Therefore, we obtain

$$\left\{X\in \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})|\hat{X}=X\right\}=\left\{X\in \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})|X=\begin{bmatrix}X_1 & 0\\ 0 & X_4\end{bmatrix}\right\}.$$

Assuming that 1 appears m times in P. Then $\dim_{\bar{K}}\left\{X\in \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})|\hat{X}=X\right\}=m^2+(d_\lambda-m)^2$. We easily see that

$$m^2+(d_\lambda-m)^2\geq\frac{d_\lambda^2}{2}\quad\text{equality holds iff } m=\frac{d_\lambda}{2}.$$

Combining this with (3.5) and the fact that $\dim_{\bar{K}}\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)=\dfrac{1}{2}\dim_{\bar{K}}\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ (Proposition 3.6), we deduce that $m=d_\lambda/2$. Thereby,

$$\left\{X\in \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})|\hat{X}=X\right\}\cong \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda/2}(\bar{K})\oplus \mathrm{Mat}_{d_\lambda/2}(\bar{K}) \tag{3.6}$$

holds. This means that $\mathrm{res}^{\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)}_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)}S^\lambda_{\bar{K}}$ decomposes into two simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules $\bar{S}^{\lambda+}_{\bar{K}}$ and $\bar{S}^{\lambda-}_{\bar{K}}$ which are mutually non-isomorphic. Let $\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}=\left\{X\in I_{q,\lambda}|\hat{X}=X\right\}$ and $\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}$ (resp. $\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}$) be the homogeneous component corresponding to $\bar{S}^{\lambda+}_{\bar{K}}$ (resp. $\bar{S}^{\lambda-}_{\bar{K}}$) for $\lambda\neq\lambda'$. Then (3.6) implies that

$$\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}=\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}\oplus\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}, \tag{3.7}$$

Summarizing our argument, we conclude that $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of minimal two-sided ideals as follows,

$$\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)=\{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_r,\lambda>\lambda'}\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}\}\bigoplus\Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_r,\lambda=\lambda'}\{\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}\oplus\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}\}\Big],$$

where $<$ denotes the lexicographic order on Λ_r; $\lambda=(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots)<\mu=(\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots)$ iff $\lambda_k<\mu_k$ for the smallest k such that $\lambda_k\neq\mu_k$. Consequently we have proved the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *Let q be an indeterminate and $K = \mathbb{Q}(q)$.*
If $\lambda' \neq \lambda$, then $\bar{S}^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}}$ is simple and $\bar{S}^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}} \cong \bar{S}^{\lambda'}_{\bar{K}}$ where $\bar{S}^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}}$ denotes $\operatorname{res}^{\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)}_{\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)} S^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}}$.
If $\lambda' = \lambda$, then $\bar{S}^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}}$ decomposes into mutually non-isomorphic two simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules $\bar{S}^{\lambda+}_{\bar{K}}$ and $\bar{S}^{\lambda-}_{\bar{K}}$.
The simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules $\bar{S}^{\lambda}_{\bar{K}}, \bar{S}^{\mu+}_{\bar{K}}, \bar{S}^{\mu-}_{\bar{K}}$ $(\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_r, \lambda > \lambda', \mu = \mu')$ constitute a basic set of simple left $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$-modules. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ is a split semisimple $\bar{K}$-algebra and its homogeneous decomposition is as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q) = \Big\{ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_r, \lambda > \lambda'} \tilde{I}_{q,\lambda} \Big\} \bigoplus \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_r, \lambda = \lambda'} \{ \tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda} \oplus \tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda} \} \Big],$$

where each $\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}$ is the homogeneous component corresponding to λ which is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Mat}_{d_\lambda}(\bar{K})$ and each direct sum $\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda} \oplus \tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}$ consists of two homogeneous components $\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}$ and $\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}$ both corresponding to λ which are isomorphic to $\operatorname{Mat}_{d_\lambda/2}(\bar{K})$.

4 Schur-Weyl reciprocity between $U^\sigma_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$

In our previous paper [10], we defined the q-permutation representation and established Schur-Weyl reciprocity between the quantum superalgebra $U^\sigma_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$. Schur-Weyl reciprocity between the general Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)$ and the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_r$ was established in, for example, [3, 13]. In this section, we shall review the q-permutation representation and the vector representation of $U^\sigma_q\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$.

Let $V = \oplus_{k=1}^{m+n} K v_k$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded K-module of rank $m+n$. By $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, we mean that V is a direct sum of two submodule $V_{\bar{0}} = \oplus_{k=1}^{m} K v_k$ and $V_{\bar{1}} = \oplus_{k=m+1}^{m+n} K v_k$, and that for each homogeneous element the degree map $|\cdot|$

$$|v| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \in V_{\bar{0}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } v \in V_{\bar{1}}, \end{cases}$$

is given.

Let π_r be the q-permutation representation of $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$ on the tensor space $V^{\otimes r}$. π_r is given by $\pi_r(T_i) = \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes T \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes r-i-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1)$ where T is the operator on $V \otimes V$ defined by

$$T v_k \otimes v_l = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(-1)^{|v_k|}(q+q^{-1}) + q - q^{-1}}{2} v_k \otimes v_l & \text{if } k = l, \\ (-1)^{|v_k||v_l|} v_l \otimes v_k + (q - q^{-1}) v_k \otimes v_l & \text{if } k < l, \\ (-1)^{|v_k||v_l|} v_l \otimes v_k & \text{if } k > l. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and Id is the identity operator on V. This representation π_r is reduced to the (normal) q-permutation representation of $\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$ with $n = 0$ and to the sign permutation representation of $\mathfrak{S}_r$ with $q\to 1$. Let T' be the operator defined by

$$T' = \frac{2T - (q - q^{-1})}{q + q^{-1}}$$

Then T' is determined by

$$T' v_k \otimes v_l = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|v_k|} v_k \otimes v_l & \text{if } k = l, \\ \dfrac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|}}{q + q^{-1}} v_l \otimes v_k + \dfrac{q - q^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}} v_k \otimes v_l & \text{if } k < l, \\ \dfrac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|}}{q + q^{-1}} v_l \otimes v_k - \dfrac{q - q^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}} v_k \otimes v_l & \text{if } k > l. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

π_r is also given by $\pi_r(T'_i) = \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes i-1} \otimes T' \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes r-i-1}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1)$

Next we shall review quantum superalgebras and their vector representations. Several definitions of quantum superalgebras appear in, for example, [2, 8, 14]. The much complete definition and detailed observations of quantum superalgebras can be found in [14]. In this paper, we obey the manner of definition of $U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ in [2]. The method of construction of superalgebra depends on [7] basically. Let $\Pi = \{\alpha_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of simple roots with the index set $I = \{1, \ldots, r\}$. We assume that I is a disjoint union of two subsets I_{even} and I_{odd}. We define a map $p : I \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ to be such that

$$p(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in I_{\mathrm{even}}, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}. \end{cases}$$

Let P be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module which includes all $\alpha_i \in P (i \in I)$. We assume that a $\mathbb{Q}$-valued symmetric bilinear form on P $(\cdot,\cdot) : P \times P \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is defined and that the simple coroots $h_i \in P^*(i \in I)$ are given as data. The natural pairing $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle : P^* \times P \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ between P and P^* is assumed to satisfy

$$\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } i \in I_{\mathrm{even}}, \\ 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 2 & \text{if } i = j \text{ and } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}, \\ \leq 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i | i \in I\}$ the set of all coroots. Furthermore, for each $i \in I$ we assume that there exists a nonzero integer ℓ_i such that $\ell_i \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle = (\alpha_i, \lambda)$ for every $\lambda \in P$. Then we immediately have the Cartan matrix $A = [\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle]_{ij}$ is symmetrizable because $\ell_i\langle h_i, \alpha_j\rangle = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = (\alpha_j, \alpha_i) = \ell_j \langle h_j, \alpha_i\rangle$. We mention that the symmetrized matrix is $A^{\mathrm{sym}} = \mathrm{diag}(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r)A = [(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)]_{ij}$. Let $\mathfrak{h} = P^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\Phi = (\mathfrak{h}, \Pi^\vee, \Pi)$ is said to be a fundamental root data associated to A. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(\Phi)$ be the contragredient

Lie superalgebra obtained from Φ and p. The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unital associative algebra over $K=\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with generators $q^h(h{\in}P^*), e_i, f_i(i{\in}I)$ and an additional element σ which satisfy the following defining relations:

(Q1) $q^h=1 \quad \text{for } h=0,$

(Q2) $q^{h_1}q^{h_2}=q^{h_1+h_2} \quad \text{for } h_1,h_2{\in}P^*,$

(Q3) $q^he_i=q^{\langle h,\alpha_j\rangle}e_iq^h \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^* \text{ and } i{\in}I,$

(Q4) $q^hf_i=q^{-\langle h,\alpha_j\rangle}f_iq^h \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^* \text{ and } i{\in}I,$

(Q5) $[e_i,f_j]=\delta_{ij}\dfrac{q^{\ell_ih_i}-q^{-\ell_ih_i}}{q^{\ell_i}-q^{-\ell_i}} \quad \text{for } i,j{\in}I,$

(Q6) $\sigma^2=1,$

(Q7) $q^h\sigma=\sigma q^h \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^*,$

(Q8) $e_i\sigma=(-1)^{p(i)}\sigma e_i \quad \text{for } i{\in}I,$

(Q9) $f_i\sigma=(-1)^{p(i)}\sigma f_i \quad \text{for } i{\in}I,$

where $[e_i,f_j]$ means the supercommutator

$$[e_i,f_j]=e_if_j-(-1)^{p(i)p(j)}f_je_i.$$

We assume further conditions:

(Q10) If $a{\in}\sum_{i\in I}U_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)e_iU_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ satisfies $f_ia{\in}U_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)f_i$ for all $i{\in}I$, then $a=0$,

(Q11) If $a{\in}\sum_{i\in I}U_q(\mathfrak{n}_-)f_iU_q(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ satisfies $e_ia{\in}U_q(\mathfrak{n}_-)e_i$ for all $i{\in}I$, then $a=0$,

where $U_q(\mathfrak{n}_+)$ (respectively $U_q(\mathfrak{n}_-)$) is the subalgebra of $U_q^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $\{e_i|i{\in}I\}$ (respectively $\{f_i|i{\in}I\}$). $U_q^\sigma(\mathfrak{g})$ is a Hopf algebra whose comultiplication $\triangle_\sigma$, counit ε_σ, antipode S_σ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle_\sigma(\sigma)=\sigma\otimes\sigma,\\
&\triangle_\sigma(q^h)=q^h\otimes q^h \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^*,\\
&\triangle_\sigma(e_i)=e_i\otimes q^{-\ell_ih_i}+\sigma^{p(i)}\otimes e_i \quad \text{for } i{\in}I,\\
&\triangle_\sigma(f_i)=f_i\otimes 1+\sigma^{p(i)}q^{\ell_ih_i}\otimes f_i \quad \text{for } i{\in}I,\\
&\varepsilon_\sigma(\sigma)=\varepsilon_\sigma(q^h)=1 \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^*, \quad \varepsilon_\sigma(e_i)=\varepsilon_\sigma(f_i)=0 \quad \text{for } i{\in}I,\\
&S_\sigma(\sigma)=\sigma, \quad S_\sigma(q^{\pm h})=q^{\mp h} \quad \text{for } h{\in}P^*,\\
&S_\sigma(e_i)=-\sigma^{p(i)}e_iq^{\ell_ih_i}, \quad S_\sigma(f_i)=-\sigma^{p(i)}q^{-\ell_ih_i}f_i \quad \text{for } i{\in}I.
\end{aligned}$$

The quantized enveloping algebra $U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ is obtained from the fundamental root data as follows.

- $I = I_{\text{even}} \cup I_{\text{odd}}$ is defined by $I_{\text{even}} = \{1, 2, \ldots, m-1, m+1, \ldots, m+n-1\}$ and $I_{\text{odd}} = \{m\}$,
- $P = \oplus_{b \in B} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_b$, where $B = B_+ \cup B_-$ with $B_+ = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $B_- = \{m+1, \ldots, m+n\}$,
- $(\cdot,\cdot) : P \times P \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is the symmetric bilinear form on P defined by

$$(\epsilon_a, \epsilon_{a'}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = a' \in B_+, \\ -1 & \text{if } a = a' \in B_-, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

- $\Pi = \{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$ is defined by $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$,
- $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i | i \in I\}$ is uniquely determined by the formula $\ell_i \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = (\alpha_i, \lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in P$, where

$$\ell_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ -1 & \text{if } i = m+1, \ldots, m+n-1. \end{cases}$$

The vector representation (ρ, V) of $U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$ on the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $V = V_{\overline{0}} \oplus V_{\overline{1}}$ (recall that $V_{\overline{0}} = \oplus_{i=1}^m K v_i, V_{\overline{1}} = \oplus_{i=m+1}^{m+n} K v_i$) is defined by (see [2])

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(\sigma) v_j = (-1)^{|v_j|} v_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m+n, \\ &\rho(q^h) v_j = q^{\epsilon_j(h)} v_j \quad \text{for } h \in P^*, j = 1, \ldots, m+n, \\ &\rho(e_j) v_{j+1} = v_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m+n-1, \\ &\rho(f_j) v_j = v_{j+1} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, m+n-1, \\ &\text{otherwise } 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The vector representation ρ_r on $V^{\otimes r}$ is given by $\rho_r(x) = \rho^{\otimes r} \circ \triangle^{(r-1)}(x)$ where $\triangle^{(1)} = \triangle_\sigma$ and $\triangle^{(k)} = (\triangle_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes k-1}) \triangle^{(k-1)}$ inductively. One can readily see that ρ_r is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho_r(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma)^{\otimes r}, \\ &\rho_r(q^h) = \rho(q^h)^{\otimes r} \quad \text{for } h \in P^*, \\ &\rho_r(e_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \rho(\sigma^{p(i)})^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \rho(e_i) \otimes \rho(q^{-\ell_i h_i})^{\otimes r-k} \quad \text{for } i \in I, \\ &\rho_r(f_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \rho(\sigma^{p(i)} q^{\ell_i h_i})^{\otimes k-1} \otimes \rho(f_i) \otimes \mathrm{Id}^{\otimes r-k} \quad \text{for } i \in I. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Our precedent works in [10] are Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.1 (Schur-Weyl reciprocity [10]). *Let* $\mathcal{A}_q = \pi_r\big(\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)\big)$ *and* $\mathcal{B}_q = \rho_r\big(U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)\big)$. *Then we have* $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{B}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{A}_q$ *and* $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{B}_q$.

Let $\bar{U}_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big) = U_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)\otimes_K \bar{K}$, $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \mathcal{A}_q\otimes_K\bar{K}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_q = \mathcal{B}_q\otimes_K\bar{K}$. Then, $\pi_r\big(\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)\big) = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\rho_r\big(\bar{U}_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)\big) = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_q$ as $\bar{K}$-algebras of operators on $\bar{V}^{\otimes r} = (V\otimes_K\bar{K})^{\otimes r}$. We notice that $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{B}}_q}\bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q}\bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_q$ hold.

Let $H(m,n;r) = \{\lambda = (\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots)\in\Lambda_r \mid \lambda_j\leq n \text{ if } j > m\}$. Diagrams of elements of $H(m,n;r)$ are exactly those contained in the (m,n)-hooks. Then the following holds.

Theorem 4.2 (Decomposition of the tensor space [10]). $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in H(m,n;r)}\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}$ *where each* $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda} = \pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})(\cong I_{q,\lambda})$ *is the image of the homogeneous component* $I_{q,\lambda}$ *corresponding to* $\lambda\in H(m,n;r)$ *as in (3.4). Moreover, we have* $\bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in H(m,n;r)} H_\lambda\otimes V_\lambda$ *where* H_λ*'s are mutually non-isomorphic simple left* $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$*-modules indexed by the elements of* $H(m,n;r)$*, and* V_λ*'s are mutually non-isomorphic simple left* $\bar{U}_q^\sigma\big(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n)\big)$*-modules indexed by the elements of* $H(m,n;r)$.

5 Schur-Weyl reciprocity for $\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)$ in case of $m = n$

Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the alternating group $\mathfrak{A}_r$ has been researched by Regev in [12]. The paper [12] showed that if $\dim V_{\bar{0}} = \dim V_{\bar{1}}$ under the base field $\mathbb{C}$, then the centralizer algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{A}_r} V^{\otimes r}$ has remarkable property; $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product for $\operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{S}_r} V^{\otimes r}$. In this paper, we establish a q-analogue extension of Regev's result. We also show that Schur-Weyl reciprocity is valid even if the base field is $\mathbb{Q}(q)$.

We set $K = \mathbb{Q}(q)$ in succession, and denote $\mathcal{C}_q = \pi_r\big(\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)\big)$. Let us consider the relation between $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}_q} V^{\otimes r}$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r}$. Since $\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)\subsetneq\mathcal{H}_{K,r}(q)$, we immediately have

$$\mathcal{C}_q\subseteq\mathcal{A}_q \quad\text{and}\quad \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}_q} V^{\otimes r}\subseteq\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r}.$$

Recall that V is an $m+n$-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space over K. In this section, we analyze the structure of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r}$ in case of $m = n$. We will consider the general case in the next section.

Assume that $m = n$. Recall that

$$\mathcal{B}_q = \{f\in\operatorname{End}_K V^{\otimes r} \mid \pi_r(T_i')f = f\pi_r(T_i') \text{ for } i = 1,2,\ldots,r-1\} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{A}_q} V^{\otimes r}.$$

We define $\mathcal{B}_q^\dagger, \mathcal{C}_q$ to be the subspaces of $\operatorname{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{B}_q^\dagger = \{f\in\operatorname{End}_K V^{\otimes r} \mid \pi_r(T_i')f = -f\pi_r(T_i') \text{ for } i = 1,2,\ldots,r-1\},$$
$$\mathcal{D}_q = \{f\in\operatorname{End}_K V^{\otimes r} \mid \pi_r(T_1'T_{i+1}')f = f\pi_r(T_1'T_{i+1}') \text{ for } i = 1,2,\ldots,r-2\} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r}.$$

Lemma 5.1. $\mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{B}_q \bigoplus \mathcal{B}_q^\dagger$.

Proof. It is clear that the sum is direct. $\supseteq$ is also obvious. We notice that if $f \in \mathcal{D}_q$, then $\pi_r(T_j')f\pi_r(T_j') = \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')$ for $j = 2, 3, \ldots, r-1$ from the definition of $\mathcal{D}_q$.

In general, we may write

$$f = \frac{1}{2}\big(f + \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big) + \frac{1}{2}\big(f - \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big).$$

If $f \in \mathcal{D}_q$, then one can readily see

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(T_i')\frac{1}{2}\big(f + \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big) &= \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi_r(T_i')f\pi_r(T_i')^2 + \pi_r(T_i')\pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\pi_r(T_i') + f\pi_r(T_i')\pi_r(T_1')^2\big)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\big(\pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1') + f\big)\pi_r(T_i').\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $2^{-1}\big(f + \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big) \in \mathcal{B}_q$. In the same fashion, we also get $2^{-1}\big(f - \pi_r(T_1')f\pi_r(T_1')\big) \in \mathcal{B}_q^\dagger$. Thus we have proved the reverse inclusion $\subseteq$. $\square$

We notice that if V is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, then $\operatorname{End}_K V$ is also $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. Namely,

$$\operatorname{End}_K V = (\operatorname{End}_K V)_{\overline{0}} \oplus (\operatorname{End}_K V)_{\overline{1}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{End}_K V)_{\overline{0}} &= \big\{f \in \operatorname{End}_K V \,|\, f(V_{\overline{i}}) \subseteq V_{\overline{i}}, i \in \{0,1\}\big\},\\ (\operatorname{End}_K V)_{\overline{1}} &= \big\{f \in \operatorname{End}_K V \,|\, f(V_{\overline{i}}) \subseteq V_{\overline{i+1}}, i \in \{0,1\}\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_K V$ be given by $\varphi(v_i) = v_{2m-i+1}$. Obviously, $\varphi \in (\operatorname{End}_K V)_{\overline{1}}$. Let $\varphi^{\otimes r}$ be the tensor product of φ. In general, for homogeneous elements $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_r$ of $\operatorname{End}_K V$, $f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r \in \operatorname{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$ is given by

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^r (|f_i| \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |u_j|)} f_1(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes f_r(u_r),$$

where $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ are homogeneous elements of V. Hence we have

$$\varphi^{\otimes r}(u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_r) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^r (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |u_j|)} \varphi(u_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(u_r). \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.2. *$\varphi^{\otimes r}$ is an isomorphism of K-vector space $V^{\otimes r}$ which satisfies following properties:*

$$(\varphi^{\otimes r})^2 = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\,\mathrm{I} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\pi_r(T_i')\varphi^{\otimes r} = -\varphi^{\otimes r}\pi_r(T_i') \quad \textit{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, r-1, \tag{5.3}$$

where I *is the identity operator on* $V^{\otimes r}$.

Proof. For homogeneous elements $u_1, \dots, u_r$ of V, one can readily see that

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi^{\otimes r})^2(u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r) &= (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{r}(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}|u_j|)}\varphi(u_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(u_r)\\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{r}(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(|u_j|+|\varphi(u_j)|)}u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r\\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}1}u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r\\ &= (-1)^{(r-1)r/2}u_1\otimes\cdots\otimes u_r.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\varphi^{\otimes r})^2 = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\,\mathrm{I}$, and $\varphi^{\otimes r}$ has the inverse $(\varphi^{\otimes r})^{-1} = (-1)^{(r-1)r/2}\varphi^{\otimes r}$.

To prove the last statement, we check three cases of the definition of π_r which has appeared in (4.2). We notice that it suffice to prove only the case $r = 2$.

Case1 : $k = l$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{\otimes 2}T'(v_k\otimes v_l) &= (-1)^{|v_k|}\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_k)\\ &= v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}T'\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l) &= (-1)^{|v_k|}T'(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1})\\ &= (-1)^{|v_k|}(-1)^{|v_{2m-k+1}|}v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}\\ &= -v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}\end{aligned}$$

Case2 : $k < l$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{\otimes 2}T'(v_k\otimes v_l) &= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|}}{q+q^{-1}}\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_l\otimes v_k) + \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l)\\ &= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|}}{q+q^{-1}}(v_{2m-l+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}) + \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}(-1)^{|v_k|}(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}T'\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l) &= (-1)^{|v_k|}T'(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})\\ &= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|}}{q+q^{-1}}(v_{2m-l+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}) - \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}(-1)^{|v_k|}(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})\end{aligned}$$

If $|v_k| = |v_l| = 0$, then $(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|} = 1$ and $(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|} = -1$.
If $|v_k| = 0, |v_l| = 1$, then $(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|} = -1$ and $(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|} = 1$.
If $|v_k| = 1, |v_l| = 0$, then $(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|} = 1$ and $(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|} = -1$.
If $|v_k| = |v_l| = 1$, then $(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|} = 1$ and $(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|} = -1$.
After all, $\varphi^{\otimes 2}T'(v_k\otimes v_l) = -T'\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l)$ holds.

Case3 : $k > l$

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{\otimes 2}T'(v_k\otimes v_l) &= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|}}{q+q^{-1}}\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_l\otimes v_k) - \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l)\\ &= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k||v_l|+|v_l|}}{q+q^{-1}}(v_{2m-l+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}) - \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}(-1)^{|v_k|}(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T'\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l) &= (-1)^{|v_k|}T'(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})\\
&= \frac{2(-1)^{|v_k|+|v_{2m-k+1}||v_{2m-l+1}|}}{q+q^{-1}}(v_{2m-l+1}\otimes v_{2m-k+1}) + \frac{q-q^{-1}}{q+q^{-1}}(-1)^{|v_k|}(v_{2m-k+1}\otimes v_{2m-l+1})
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same manner as case2, we have $\varphi^{\otimes 2}T'(v_k\otimes v_l) = -T'\varphi^{\otimes 2}(v_k\otimes v_l)$. $\square$

Let Φ be the endomorphism of the vector space $\mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$ which is given by:

$$\Phi(f) = \varphi^{\otimes r} f \quad \text{for } f\in \mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}.$$

Then we have the following.

Proposition 5.3. *Φ is an automorphism of the vector space $\mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$. The restriction of Φ to $\mathcal{D}_q$ gives an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}_q$ which satisfies the following properties:*

$$\Phi(\mathcal{B}_q) = \mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}, \quad \Phi(\mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{B}_q.$$

Especially, we have $\dim_K \mathcal{B}_q = \dim_K \mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{B}_q\oplus\Phi(\mathcal{B}_q) = \mathcal{B}_q\oplus\varphi^{\otimes r}\mathcal{B}_q$.

Proof. From (5.2), it immediately follows that $\Phi^2 f = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} f$ for $f\in \mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$. Hence Φ is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$. Let $f\in\mathcal{B}_q$. From (5.3), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_r(T_i')\Phi(f) &= \pi_r(T_i')\varphi^{\otimes r} f\\
&= -\varphi^{\otimes r}\pi_r(T_i')f\\
&= -\varphi^{\otimes r} f\pi_r(T_i')\\
&= -\Phi(f)\pi_r(T_i').
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\Phi(f)\in\mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}$. In the same way, we also obtain $\Phi(f)\in\mathcal{B}_q$ for $f\in\mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}$. Combining with Lemma 5.1, we can conclude that Φ defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{B}_q\oplus\mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}$ satisfying $\Phi(\mathcal{B}_q) = \mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{B}_q^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{B}_q$. $\square$

Let ω be the endomorphism of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}$ which is given by:

$$\omega(f) = (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\varphi^{\otimes r} f \varphi^{\otimes r} \quad \text{for } f\in \mathrm{End}_K V^{\otimes r}.$$

Indeed, one can easily see that the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(fg) &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\varphi^{\otimes r} fg\varphi^{\otimes r}\\
&= \varphi^{\otimes r} f\varphi^{\otimes r}\varphi^{\otimes r} g\varphi^{\otimes r}\\
&= \big((-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\varphi^{\otimes r} f\varphi^{\otimes r}\big)\big((-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\varphi^{\otimes r} g\varphi^{\otimes r}\big)\\
&= \omega(f)\omega(g).
\end{aligned}
$$

So, ω is algebraic.

Proposition 5.4. *ω is an automorphism of order 2 of the algebra $\mathcal{B}_q$.*

Proof. If $f\in\mathcal{B}_q$, then it follows from (5.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_r(T_i')\omega(f) &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\pi_r(T_i')\varphi^{\otimes r}f\varphi^{\otimes r}\\ &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\pi_r(T_i')\varphi^{\otimes r}f\varphi^{\otimes r}\\ &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\varphi^{\otimes r}f\varphi^{\otimes r}\pi_r(T_i')\\ &= \omega(f)\pi_r(T_i')\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\omega(f)\in\mathcal{B}_q$. From (5.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^2(f) &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}\omega(\varphi^{\otimes r}f\varphi^{\otimes r})\\ &= (-1)^{r(r-1)}(\varphi^{\otimes r})^2f(\varphi^{\otimes r})^2\\ &= f\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ω is an automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_q$ of order 2. □

Let $H=\langle 1,\omega\rangle$. Then H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_q)$. H is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2=\langle 1,-1\rangle$ as (multiplicative) groups. We define two maps

$$\psi_1:\mathbb{Z}_2\longrightarrow\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_q),\quad \psi_1(1)=1,\psi_1(-1)=\omega, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\alpha_1:\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2\longrightarrow(\mathcal{B}_q)^\times,\quad \alpha_1(\sigma,\tau)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } \sigma=1 \text{ or } \tau=1,\\ (-1)^{r(r-1)/2} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{5.5}$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. *ψ_1 and α_1 satisfy (2.1)–(2.3).*

Proof. (2.3) is trivial. Since $\alpha(\sigma,\tau)=\pm1$, (2.1) may be reduced to ${}^{\sigma}({}^{\tau}a)={}^{(\sigma\tau)}a$, so holds obviously. If $\sigma_1=1$, then both sides of (2.2) equal $\alpha(\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$. In the same manner, if $\sigma_2=1$ (respectively $\sigma_3=1$), then those equal $\alpha(\sigma_1,\sigma_3)$ (respectively $\alpha(\sigma_1,\sigma_2)$). If $\sigma_1=\sigma_2=\sigma_3=-1$, then both sides of (2.2) become -1. Thus (2.2) holds. □

Hence, we obtain a crossed product ${\mathcal{B}_q}^{\psi_1}_{\alpha_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ from the crossed system $(\mathcal{B}_q,\mathbb{Z}_2,\psi_1,\alpha_1)$, where ψ_1 and α_1 are given in (5.4) and (5.5) respectively.

Theorem 5.6. *If $m=n$, then $\mathcal{D}_q$ is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-crossed product ${\mathcal{B}_q}^{\psi_1}_{\alpha_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ as K-algebras.*

Proof. Because $\mathcal{B}_q^\dagger = \varphi^{\otimes r}\mathcal{B}_q = \mathcal{B}_q\varphi^{\otimes r}$ holds from (5.3) and Proposition 5.3,

$$\mathcal{D}_q = \mathcal{B}_q 1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_q\varphi^{\otimes r}$$

follows. Since both ${\mathcal{B}_q}_{\alpha_1}^{\psi_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ and $\mathcal{D}_q$ are free left $\mathcal{B}_q$-modules, we may define an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}_q$-modules:

$$\iota_1 : {\mathcal{B}_q}_{\alpha_1}^{\psi_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_q, \quad \iota_1(u_1) = 1, \iota_1(u_{-1}) = \varphi^{\otimes r}.$$

From (2.4) and (5.4) and (5.5), one can deduce the multiplication law for ${\mathcal{B}_q}_{\alpha_1}^{\psi_1}[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ as follows.

$$(a_1u_\sigma)(a_2u_\tau) = \begin{cases} a_1a_2u_1 & \text{if } \sigma = 1 \text{ and } \tau = 1, \\ a_1a_2u_{-1} & \text{if } \sigma = 1 \text{ and } \tau = -1, \\ (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}a_1\varphi^{\otimes r}a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}u_{-1} & \text{if } \sigma = -1 \text{ and } \tau = 1, \\ a_1\varphi^{\otimes r}a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}u_1 & \text{if } \sigma = -1 \text{ and } \tau = -1, \end{cases}$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{B}_q$. Therefore, we get four formulas in $\mathcal{D}_q$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_11)(a_21) &= a_1a_21, \\ (a_11)(a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}) &= a_1a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}, \\ (a_1\varphi^{\otimes r})(a_21) &= (-1)^{r(r-1)/2}a_1\varphi^{\otimes r}a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}\varphi^{\otimes r}, \\ (a_1\varphi^{\otimes r})(a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}) &= a_1\varphi^{\otimes r}a_2\varphi^{\otimes r}1, \end{aligned}$$

which derive the conclusion that f is an isomorphism of K-algebras. □

For a commutative domain R and a subalgebra A of $\mathrm{Mat}(m, R)$, we set $\tilde{A} = \{X \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, R) | XY = YX \text{ for all } Y \in A\}$. Let $R_1 = \mathbb{Q}[q, q^{-1}]$ be the algebra of Laurent polynomials. Since matrix elements of ρ_r are in R_1 by (4.3) and (4.4), we may define $\mathcal{D}'_q$ to be the subalgebra of $\mathrm{Mat}\big((2m)^r, R_1\big)$ generated by the set $\{\rho_r(\sigma), \rho_r(q^h), \rho_r(e_i), \rho_r(f_i), \varphi^{\otimes r} | h \in P^*, i \in I\}$. Similarly by (4.2), we may also define $\mathcal{C}'_q$ to be the one generated by $\{I_{(2m)^r}, (q+q^{-1})^2\pi_r(T'_1T'_i) | i = 2, \ldots, r-1\}$ where $I_{(2m)^r}$ is the identity matrix. Now we shall complete Schur-Weyl reciprocity for the q-analogue of the alternating group, namely, $\mathcal{C}_q = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_q} V^{\otimes r}$.

$\mathcal{D}_q = \tilde{\mathcal{C}_q}$ is by definition. We shall show $\mathcal{C}_q = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_q$. The specialization to a nonzero complex number t is a ring homomorphism $\varphi_t : R_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the condition $\varphi_t(q) = t$. $\mathbb{C}$ becomes $(\mathbb{C}, R_1)$-bimodule, with R_1 acting from the right via φ_t. If t is a transcendental number, we can extend the specialization from R_1 to its quotient field K, namely $\varphi_t : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Applying the specialization φ_t, we obtain the specialized algebras $\mathcal{C}_t = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q$ and $\mathcal{D}_t = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{R_1} \mathcal{D}_q$ which are subalgebras of $\mathrm{Mat}\big((2m)^r, \mathbb{C}\big)$. $\mathcal{C}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_t$ act on the specialized vector space $\mathbb{C} \otimes_K V^{\otimes r}$ in obvious ways.

Proposition 5.7. $\mathcal{C}_q = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_q$.

Proof. Obviously $\mathcal{C}'_q \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q$, so $\operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q \leq \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q$ holds.

Since R_1 is a principal ideal domain, the submodules $\mathcal{C}'_q$ and $\mathcal{D}'_q$ of the free R_1-module $\operatorname{Mat}\big((2m)^r, R_1\big)$ are also free. Assume $N = \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q$. Let $X_i(q) (i = 1, \dots, N)$ be a basis of $\mathcal{C}'_q$ and $x_i^{k,l}(q) \in R_1$ the (k, l)-entry of $X_i(q)$. Then we immediately have that the specialized elements $X_i(t) = \big(x_i^{k,l}(t)\big) (i = 1, \dots, N)$ generate $\mathcal{C}_t$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_t \leq \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q$. Because $X_i(q)$ are linearly independent, $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(q) X_i(q) = 0$ for $\alpha_1(q), \dots, \alpha_N(q) \in R_1$ implies $\alpha_1(q) = \dots = \alpha_N(q) = 0$. But the equation $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i X_i(t) = 0$ for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in \mathbb{C}$ may admit a nonzero α_i. Consider the system of linear equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1^{1,1}(t) & x_2^{1,1}(t) & \cdots & x_N^{1,1}(t) \\ x_1^{1,2}(t) & x_2^{1,2}(t) & \cdots & x_N^{1,2}(t) \\ & \cdots\cdots\cdots & & \\ x_1^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r-1}(t) & x_2^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r-1}(t) & \cdots & x_N^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r-1}(t) \\ x_1^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r}(t) & x_2^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r}(t) & \cdots & x_N^{(2m)^r,(2m)^r}(t) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \cdots \\ \alpha_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Reducing to a common denominator for each row, we may assume that each $x_i^{k,l}(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$. Suppose there exists a non-trivial solution. Then the rank of above matrix is less than N, which implies that every Nth minor determinant equals 0. This is impossible if t is transcendental. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_t = \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q$ for a transcendental t. In the same manner, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_t = \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{D}'_q$ if t is a transcendental number. This argument is valid even if R_1 is replaced by K, thus we also obtain $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_t = \dim_K \mathcal{C}_q$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{D}_t = \dim_K \mathcal{D}_q$ for a transcendental number t.

Next we shall show that $\operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$. Assume that $Y(q) = \big(y^{k,l}(q)\big) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q$. Then $Y(q)$ commutes with all $\rho_r(\sigma), \rho_r(q^h), \rho_r(e_i), \rho_r(f_i), \varphi^{\otimes r} (h \in P^*, i \in I)$. Hence the matrix elements $y^{k,l}(q) (k, l = 1, \dots, (2m)^r$ determine polynomial equations with coefficients in R_1. We notice that $\operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$ in general; besides the elements of specialized algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q$, possibly $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$ contains another element which commutes with specializations of $\rho_r(\sigma), \rho_r(q^h), \rho_r(e_i), \rho_r(f_i), \varphi^{\otimes r} (h \in P^*, i \in I)$. Assume the case. Reducing to a common denominator, we may deduce that t is a common solution of certain polynomial equations with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. This is impossible if t is transcendental. Thus $\operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}'_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t$ for a transcendental t. In the same manner, we also have $\operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$ for a transcendental t. Replacing R_1 by K, we obtain $\dim_K \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_t$ and $\dim_K \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t$. Considering the specialization φ_1, we obtain the following:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_1 \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{C}_t = \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \mathcal{C}'_q \leq \operatorname{rank}_{R_1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_t \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1.$$

In [12], Regev has shown $\mathcal{D}_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1$. Applying double centralizer theorem, we have $\mathcal{C}_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1$. Therefore

$\mathrm{rank}_{R_1}\mathcal{C}'_q = \mathrm{rank}_{R_1}\tilde{\mathcal{D}}'_q$ and $\dim_K \mathcal{C}_q = \dim_K \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_q$, which imply $\mathcal{C}_q = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_q$. □

From Proposition 5.7 we immediately obtain Schur-Weyl reciprocity for $\mathcal{H}^1_{K,r}(q)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.8. *$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{D}_q$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_q} V^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{C}_q$ hold.*

6 Schur-Weyl reciprocity for $\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ in the general case

Henceforth, we do not assume $m{\neq}n$ and consider the general case. In this case, results can be obtained in the same way as $q = 1$ case which is used in [12]. Recall Theorem 4.2:

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in H(m,n;r)} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}, \tag{6.1}$$

where each $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda} = \pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})(\cong I_{q,\lambda})$ is the image of the homogeneous component $I_{q,\lambda}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\bar{K},r}(q)$ corresponding to $\lambda\in H(m,n;r)$. In the same manner as [12], we define $H_0(m,n;r) = \{\lambda\in\Lambda_r | \lambda,\lambda'\in H(m,n;r)\}$ and $H_1(m,n;r) = H(m,n;r)\backslash H_0(m,n;r)$. Then we obtain the following from (6.1).

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r),\lambda>\lambda'} \{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}\oplus\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda'}\}\Big] \bigoplus \{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r),\lambda=\lambda'} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}\} \bigoplus \{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_1(m,n;r)} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q = \mathcal{C}_q\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}\bar{K} = \pi_r\big(\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q)\big)$. From Theorem 3.10 we have:

$$\mathcal{H}^1_{\bar{K},r}(q) = \{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_r,\lambda>\lambda'} \tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}\} \bigoplus \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_r,\lambda=\lambda'} \{\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}\oplus\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}\}\Big].$$

So we immediately obtain:

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q = \{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r),\lambda>\lambda'} \pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda})\} \bigoplus \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r),\lambda=\lambda'} \{\pi_r(\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda})\oplus\pi_r(\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda})\}\Big] \bigoplus \{\bigoplus_{\lambda\in H_1(m,n;r)} \pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda})\}. \tag{6.3}$$

If $\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r)$ and $\lambda > \lambda'$, then $\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})\cong I_{q,\lambda}$ and $\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda'})\cong I_{q,\lambda'}$. Therefore $\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda}\oplus I_{q,\lambda'})\cong I_{q,\lambda}\oplus I_{q,\lambda'}$, which implies $\pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda})\cong\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})\cong I_{q,\lambda}$ because $I_{q,\lambda}\cong\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}\subseteq I_{q,\lambda}\oplus I_{q,\lambda'}$.

If $\lambda\in H_0(m,n;r)$ and $\lambda = \lambda'$, then $\pi_r(\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda})\cong\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}$ and $\pi_r(\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda})\cong\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}$ hold because $\pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda})\cong\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}$ and $\tilde{I}^{\pm}_{q,\lambda}\subseteq\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}$.

If $\lambda\in H_1(m,n;r)$ then $\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})\cong I_{q,\lambda}$ and $\pi_r(I_{q,\lambda'}) = \{0\}$. Since $\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda} = \big\{X + \hat{X}\in I_{q,\lambda}\oplus I_{q,\lambda'} | X\in I_{q,\lambda}\big\}$, we have $\pi_r(X + \hat{X}) = \pi_r(X)$ for $X + \hat{X}\in\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}$. Thereby $\pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}) = \pi_r(I_{q,\lambda})\cong I_{q,\lambda}$ follows.

Let us define two-sided ideals of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and those of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0 &= \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda > \lambda'} \{ \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda'} \} \Big] \bigoplus \{ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda = \lambda'} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda} \}, \\
\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1 &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_1(m,n;r)} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{q,\lambda}, \\
\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0 &= \{ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda > \lambda'} \pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda}) \} \bigoplus \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda = \lambda'} \{ \pi_r(\tilde{I}^+_{q,\lambda}) \oplus \pi_r(\tilde{I}^-_{q,\lambda}) \} \Big] \\
\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q \supseteq \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1 &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_1(m,n;r)} \pi_r(\tilde{I}_{q,\lambda})
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing dimensions of components between $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. *$\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ have direct sum decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0 \oplus \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1$ respectively, which are satisfy the following relations.*

(1) $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0 \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0$ *and* $\dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0 = 2 \dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0$

(2) $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1 = \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1$*. Especially* $\dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1 = \dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1$.

As a special case, when $m = n$, we readily see that $H_1(m,n;r) = \emptyset$. Hence both $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1$ are zero and $\dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = 2 \dim_{\bar{K}} \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$. Moreover, if $n = 0$, namely non-super case, then we readily see that if $m^2 < r$, then all $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ are contained in $H_1(m,0;r)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0 = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0 = 0$. The Corollary below is immediately obtained from this argument and (6.2), (6.3).

Corollary 6.2. *Let $n = 0$. If $m^2 < r$, then $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_q$ and* $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r}$.

We denote by W_0 and W_1 direct summands of $\bar{V}^{\otimes r}$ which are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
W_0 &= \Big[\bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda > \lambda'} \{ (H_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda) \oplus (H_{\lambda'} \otimes V_{\lambda'}) \} \Big] \bigoplus \{ \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_0(m,n;r), \lambda = \lambda'} (H_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda) \} \\
W_1 &= \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H_1(m,n;r)} (H_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda)
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_0 \oplus \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_1$ and that $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} \bar{V}^{\otimes r} = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_0 \oplus \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_1$. From Theorem 6.1, we immediately have the following.

Corollary 6.3. $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_0 \supseteq \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_0$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_1$.

Proof. Since $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1 W_0 = 0$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0 W_1 = 0$, $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_0 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0} W_0$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1} W_1$ hold. Similarly, we have $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_0 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1} W_0$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1} W_1$. Hence we immediately obtain from Theorem 6.1(1) that $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_0 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^0} W_0 \supseteq \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^0} W_0 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_0$ and from Theorem 6.1(2) that $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_q^1} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q^1} W_1 = \mathrm{End}_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_q} W_1$ also. $\square$

References

[1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, "Methods of Representation Theory", Vol.1, John Wily & Sons, 1981.

[2] G. Benkart, S. Kang and M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases for the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n))$, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13(2)** (2000), 295–331.

[3] A. Berele and A. Regev, Hook Young diagrams with applications to combinatorics and to representations of Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **64** (1987), 118–175.

[4] R. Dipper and G.D. James, Representations of Hecke algebras of general linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **52** (1986), 20–52.

[5] R. Dipper and G.D. James, Blocks and idempotents of Hecke algebras of general linear groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **54** (1987), 57–82.

[6] R. Dipper and G.D. James, The q-Schur algebra, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1989), 23–50.

[7] V. G. Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. in Math.* **26** (1977), 8–96.

[8] S. M. Khoroshkin and V. N. Tolstoy, Universal R-matrix for quantized (super)algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **141** (1991), 599-617.

[9] H. Mitsuhashi, The q-analogue of the alternating group and its representations, *J. Algebra* **240** (2001), 535–558.

[10] H. Mitsuhashi, Schur-Weyl reciprocity between the quantum superalgebra and the Iwahori-Hecke algebra, *preprint* .

[11] C. Nastasescu and F. V. Oystaeyen, Methods of Graded Rings, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 1836, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[12] A. Regev, Double centralizing theorems for the alternating groups, *J. Algebra* **250** (2002), 335–352.

[13] A. N. Sergeev, The tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{Gl}(n,m)$ and $Q(n)$, *Math. USSR Sbornik.* **51** (1985), No.2, 419–427.

[14] H. Yamane, Quantized enveloping algebras associated with simple Lie superalgebras and their universal R-matrices, *Publ. RIMS.* **30** (1994), 15–87.